arXiv:1609.05755v1 [math.CV] 19 Sep 2016

TOPOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION OF GENERIC REAL MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

ANTONIO F. COSTA, SERGEY NATANZON, AND BORIS SHAPIRO

Abstract. In this note, to each generic real meromorphic function (i.e., having only simple branch points in the appropriate sense) we associate a certain combinatorial gadget which we call the park of a function. We show that the park determines the topological type of the generic real meromorphic function and that the set of all parks is in $1-1$-correspondence with the set of all connected components in the space of generic real meromorphic functions. For any of the above components, we introduce and calculate the corresponding Hurwitz number. Finally as a consequence of our results we determine the topological types of real meromorphic functions from monodromy of orbifold coverings.

1. Introduction

The main object of study in the present paper is the Hurwitz space $\mathbb{R}H$ of real meromorphic functions. Its structure is substantially more complicated than that of the classical Hurwitz space H of complex meromorphic functions. The latter space consists of pairs (P, f) where P is a complex algebraic curve, i.e., a compact orientable Riemann surface and $f : P \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a meromorphic function, i.e., a holomorphic map to the Riemann sphere.

In applications one often encounters generic meromorphic functions, i.e., functions forming a dense subset $H^0 \subset H$ which is stable with respect to arbitrary small perturbations of functions. For complex meromorphic functions, H^0 consists of functions with all simple critical values. In other words, H^0 contains all functions f whose branchings are of second order and such that the images of all branchings under f are pairwise distinct, i.e., the critical values of f are simple.

According to the basic classical results of Hurwitz [6], connected components of H consist of all functions of a given degree d on curves of a given genus g. (Such connected component is denoted by $H_{g,d}$.) Analogously connected components of H^0 consists of generic complex functions of a given degree d on curves of a given genus g. (Such connected component is denoted by $H^0_{g,d}$.) Obviously, $H^0_{g,d} \subset H_{g,d}$ and one can show that the difference $H_{g,d} \setminus H^0_{g,d}$ has real codimension two in $H_{g,d}$ which explains why connected components of H are in $1-1$-correspondence with that of H^0.

Critical values of functions from $H^0_{g,d}$ provide a natural topology and complex structure on $H^0_{g,d}$ (and on $H_{g,d}$ as well). The number of functions belonging to $H^0_{g,d}$ with a given set of $2d+2g-2$ distinct critical values was defined and in many

Date: September 20, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14P25, Secondary 14E22, 37F10.

Key words and phrases. real meromorphic functions, gardens and parks, Hurwitz numbers.

cases calculated by Hurwitz in [6]. (Observe that $2d+2g-2$ is also the dimension of $H^0_{g,d}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.) The latter number of functions is classically referred to as the corresponding *Hurwitz number*. Hurwitz numbers play important role in modern algebraic geometry and mathematical physics.

The space H is endowed with a natural involution $\tau_H : H \to H$ anti-holomorphic with respect to the complex structure on H. This involution associates to a pair (P, f) the pair $(\overline{P}, \overline{f})$, where the Riemann surface $\overline{P}$ is obtained from P by substituting all local charts on P by their complex-conjugates and by using $\overline{f}(z) = \overline{f(z)}$. The set of fixed points of τ_H coincides with the space $\mathbb{R}H$ of all real meromorphic functions.

Let us now discuss the structure of $\mathbb{R}H$. By definition, for $(P, f) \in \mathbb{R}H$, one has that $\tau_H(P, f) = (P, f)$. Therefore, τ_H generates an anti-holomorphic involution $\tau : P \to P$ such that $f(\tau(p)) = \overline{f(p)}$. The category of such pairs (P, τ) is isomorphic to the category of real algebraic curves, see [1]. Under this isomorphism, real algebraic functions correspond to morphisms of real algebraic curves to $(\overline{\mathbb{C}}, conj)$, where $conj(z) = \bar{z}$.

The set of connected components of $\mathbb{R}H$ is substantially more complicated than that of H, see [4, 3, 11, 12, 13, 10]. (We will discuss these components in details in § 5.) As in the complex case, the most important real meromorphic functions for applications are generic ones; these functions are the main object of study here.

As in the complex case, they form an open, dense and stable with respect to arbitrary small deformations subset $\mathbb{R}H^0 \subset \mathbb{R}H$. But in the real situation the definition of $\mathbb{R}H^0$ becomes more involved. In particular, $\mathbb{R}H^0$ does not coincide with $\mathbb{R}H \cap H^0$ since the closure of the latter intersection is strictly smaller than $\mathbb{R}H$. To improve the situation, one has to define $\mathbb{R}H^0$ as the the set of all real meromorphic functions whose branchings are of the second order and for the images of these branchings, i.e., for the critical values, one should require that
(i) critical values are distinct when they are non-real;
(ii) critical values are the images of at most two branchings when they are real.

In the latter case, when there are two branchings mapped to the same real critical value, they must necessarily be interchanged by the involution τ on P. Therefore, the set $\mathbb{R}H^0$ defined in the above way is stable under arbitrary small deformations inside the class of real meromorphic functions. On the other hand, $\mathbb{R}H^0$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}H$. Indeed, for any given real meromorphic function, using small perturbations symmetric with respect to τ, one can achieve that all branchings of its small perturbation will be of order two and, therefore, belong to $\mathbb{R}H^0$.

Contrary to the complex case, there are many more connected components of the space $\mathbb{R}H^0$ than in the space $\mathbb{R}H$ which, in the first place, is related to the fact that $\mathbb{R}H \setminus \mathbb{R}H^0$ has real codimension one. To describe the topological invariants distinguishing connected components of $\mathbb{R}H^0$, we have to introduce a rather complicated combinatorial gadget which we call a *park of a real meromorphic function*. This notion is similar to the notion of a garden introduced in our earlier paper [8] and which describes connected components in the space $\mathbb{R}H \cap H^0_{0,d}$ of real generic rational functions. (The notion of a park is substantially more complicated.) In [9] there is a classification of a particular class of generic real meromorphic functions and now we shall present the complete classification of these functions.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In § 2 we define a park which, as we will see later, uniquely determines the corresponding connected component in the space of generic real meromorphic functions. In § 3 we explain how to associate a park to an arbitrary generic real meromorphic function. In § 4 we show that given an arbitrary abstract park $\mathcal{P}$, we can always find a generic real meromorphic function whose associated park coincides with $\mathcal{P}$. Let $H(\mathcal{P})$ the space of functions corresponding to a given park $\mathcal{P}$. We show that $H(\mathcal{P})$ is connected. In § 5 we recall some basic information about the classification of real meromorphic functions, see [11, 12, 13] and explain how to find the connected components of the space $\mathbb{R}H$ coinciding with the sets $H(\mathcal{P})$. In § 6 we define and calculate the corresponding Hurwitz number for $H(\mathcal{P})$. Finally, in § 7 we explain how parks are related to the monodromy of coverings of orbifolds.

Acknowledgements. During their work on this project, the first author was supported by the project MTM2014-55812-P while the second author was supported by the RFBR grant 16-01-00409. The third author wants to acknowledge the hospitality and the financial support of the Higher School of Economics during his visit to Moscow in Spring 2014.

2. Gardens and parks

2.1. **Orientable gardens.** A *semi-garden* $S\mathcal{G}$ is a compact connected oriented Riemann surface P with or without boundary together with a collection of *chords*, i.e., non-selfinteresecting curves connecting points on the boundary of P and *simple contours*, i.e., closed non-selfintersecting curves embedded in P. (Connected components of the boundary of P, the chords and the contours of $S\mathcal{G}$ may intersect transversely, but can not be tangent to each other.) The set of chords and simple contours splits P into simply-connected domains called *faces*. Intersection points of chords and contours together with the endpoints of chords are called *vertices*. Vertices split the boundary of each face into curve segments or closed curves called *edges*. One additionally requires that the end points of chords are pairwise distinct and that any vertex which is an intersection point of chords and/or contours lies in the closure of exactly four edges.

A semi-garden $S\mathcal{G}$ is additionally equipped with
(i) black and white colouring of the faces such that every two neighbouring faces have different colours;
(ii) positive integers ("lengths") assigned to its edges;
(iii) cyclic order on the set of all vertices.

Change of orientation of P together with the interchange of the black and white colours creates a new semi-garden which we call the *conjugate* of $S\mathcal{G}$.

By an *orientable garden* we call a pair of conjugate semi-gardens with boundary, where points of the boundary of these semi-gardens are identified in the obvious way. Thus an orientable garden is a compact Riemann surface $\widetilde{P}$ without boundary with an additional structure provided by a semi-garden. Substitution of the initial semi-garden by its conjugate semi-garden will result in the orientation-reversing involution ν of $\widetilde{P}$ such that the quotient $\widetilde{P}/\nu$ is an orientable surface. (A concrete separated garden is presented in Example 1 below.)

A *simplest orientable garden* is the Riemann sphere with the standard complex conjugation σ and a given integer "length" of the real cycle. Now consider a pair of

simplest orientable gardens $\{(\mathcal{G}_i, \sigma_i)|\ i = 0, 1\}$ with equal lengths of their real cycles. Take the identical isomorphisms $\phi_i : (\mathcal{G}_i, \sigma_i) \to (\mathcal{G}_{1-i}, \sigma_{1-i})$ and the involution $\sigma := \phi_i\sigma_i$ of $\mathcal{G}_0 \cup \mathcal{G}_1$. Call the obtained structure $(\mathcal{G}_0 \cup \mathcal{G}_1, \sigma)$ an *oriented separated garden*.

2.2. **Non-orientable gardens.** A *symmetric semi-garden* $S\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}$ is a pair consisting of a semi-garden $S\mathcal{G}$ on a Riemann surface P without cyclic enumeration of its vertices and an orientation-reversing involution $\tau : P \to P$ without fixed points which changes the colour of the faces of $S\mathcal{G}$ and preserves the "lengths" of the edges. For a symmetric semi-garden $S\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}$ the cyclic enumeration of the vertices of a semi-garden is substituted by the cyclic numeration of pairs of vertices which are interchanged by the involution τ.

By a *non-orientable garden* we call a symmetric semi-garden $S\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}$, where all pairs of points on the boundary of P which are interchanged by τ are identified.

Thus a non-orientable garden is a compact connected orientable surface without boundary and with additional structure induced by a symmetric semi-garden. Involution of a non-orientable semi-garden produces an orientation-reversing involution of the corresponding surface whose factor by this involution is non-orientable.

A *simplest non-orientable garden* is the Riemann sphere with the antipodal involution $\tau : z \to -\bar{z}^{-1}$, a given integer "length" of the real cycle, and a given colouring of the hemispheres into which the real cycle splits the Riemann sphere. Consider a pair of simplest non-orientable gardens $\{(\mathcal{G}_i, \sigma_i)|\ i = 0, 1\}$ with equal lengths of their real cycles. Take the identical isomorphisms $\phi_i : (\mathcal{G}_i, \sigma_i) \to (\mathcal{G}_{1-i}, \sigma_{1-i})$ and the involution $\sigma := \phi_i\sigma_i$ of $\mathcal{G}_0 \cup \mathcal{G}_1$. The obtained structure $(\mathcal{G}_0 \cup \mathcal{G}_1, \sigma)$ is called the *non-oriented separated garden*.

2.3. **Parks.** A *park* is a structure consisting of
(i) gardens and separated gardens;
(ii) entrances and exits;
(iii) alleys,
which satisfy additional conditions given below.

Here by an *entrance* (resp. *exit*) we call a point coloured white (resp. black) and endowed with a non-negative integer called *weight*. By an *alley* we call a path connecting an entrance or an exit with a face of a garden or a separated garden.

Finally, a *park* satisfies the following additional conditions:
(i) alleys connect entrances to white faces and exits with black faces;
(ii) each face has exactly one alley;
(iii) at least one alley is connected to each entrance or exit;
(iv) there exists an involution sending entrances to exits, alleys to themselves and acting on gardens and separated gardens as their associated involutions.

Two parks are called *isomorphic* if the exists a homeomorphism of the underlying spaces preserving all the relevant structures.

3. Park corresponding to a real meromorphic function

Recall that a *real algebraic curve* is a pair (P, τ) consisting of a compact Riemann surface P and an anti-holomorphic involution $\tau : P \to P$. A real meromorphic function on (P, τ) is a holomorphic function $f : P \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that for any $p \in P$, $f(\tau(p)) = \overline{f(p)}$. A real meromorphic function f is called *generic* if (i) all critical

points $p \in P$ have degree 2, and (ii) if critical points $p_1 \neq p_2 \in P$ have the same image $z = f(p_1) = f(p_2)$, then $z \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\tau(p_1) = p_2$.

Let us now associate a park to any generic real meromorphic function.

3.1. **Associated gardens.** The real cycle $\overline{\mathbb{R}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ splits the Riemann sphere into the hemispheres $\mathbb{C}_+$ and $\mathbb{C}_-$ with positive and negative imaginary part respectively. We will call them resp. the *white* and the *black* hemispheres.

Consider a symmetric neighbourhood of a real cycle $U \supset \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ (i.e., $\overline{U} = U$) which contains only real critical values. Its boundary ∂U consists of the contours $\mathcal{C}_+ \subset \mathbb{C}_+$ and $\mathcal{C}_- \subset \mathbb{C}_-$.

Take the preimage $f^{-1}(U)$. Contracting each connected component of the boundary of the preimage to a point, we obtain a finite family Φ of compact connected Riemann surfaces. The preimage $f^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ splits each of them into simply-connected domains. The closures of these domains are called *faces*. Each face contains exactly one point obtained as the result of the contraction of a component in $f^{-1}(\partial U)$. Let us colour the face white if this point is obtained by contracting of the inverse image of $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_+)$ and black if it is obtained by contracting $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_-)$.

Contracting each of the contours $\mathcal{C}_\pm$ to a point, we get a sphere $\overline{U}$ with two labelled points $z_\pm$. The real cycle splits it into the white and the black hemispheres. Function f induces the branched covering $\bar{f}$ of $\overline{U}$ by the family Φ which maps white faces to the white hemisphere and black faces to the black one. Points $z_\pm$ will be the only non-real critical values.

A critical point with a real value will be called a *vertex*. The cyclic order of critical values generates a cyclic enumeration of the vertices (or pair of vertices in the case of non-orientable gardens). Observe that vertices lie on the union of the boundaries of faces and they divide the boundary of each face into curve segments called *edges*. The restriction of f to any edge r has no critical points and it maps r in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The degree of this restricted map, i.e., the minimal cardinality of the preimage of a point of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ by f is called the "*length*" of the edge r.

Involution τ sends the family Φ to itself interchanging the colours of all faces and preserving the rest of the structure.

Lemma 1. *If a surface $P \in \Phi$ is invariant under τ (except for the colour change), then it forms a garden. The rest of components split into pairs of separated gardens.*

3.2. **Associated entrances, exits and alleys.** By an *entrance* (resp. *exit*) we call a connected component of the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}_+ \setminus U)$ (resp. $f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}_- \setminus U)$). The weight of an entrance (resp. exit) is the genus of this connected component. Connected component of the inverse image $f^{-1}(\partial U)$ is a contour separating an entrance or an exit from a face of a garden or a separated garden of the same colour. We assign to this connected component its alley (i.e., a path) connecting the entrance/exit and the face which it separates.

The next statement is obvious.

Theorem 2. *Gardens, separated gardens, and alleys of a generic meromorphic function f form a park $\mathcal{P}(f)$ which we call the park of f. This park is determined uniquely up to an isomorphism.*

Example 1. To illustrate the two types of separate gardens, we give an example of a generic real meromorphic function and its associated park. Take a generic

meromorphic function of degree four on (T,τ), where T is a torus and τ is an anti-conformal involution of T without fixed poins (i.e., $T/\langle\tau\rangle$ is a Klein bottle). Observe that such a meromorphic function has 8 critical values. Its park consists of:
(i) two separated gardens: one oriented $(\mathcal{G}_0^{or}\cup\mathcal{G}_1^{or},\sigma)$ and one non-oriented $(\mathcal{G}_0^{nor}\cup\mathcal{G}_1^{nor},\tau)$;
(ii) two entrances N_1, N_2 and two exits X_1, X_2, all four entrances/exits being of genus 0.
(iii) Denote by $B\mathcal{G}_i^{or}$, $B\mathcal{G}_i^{nor}$ and $W\mathcal{G}_i^{or}$, $W\mathcal{G}_i^{nor}$ the black and the white faces of the separated gardens respectively. There are three alleys from N_1 to $W\mathcal{G}_0^{nor}$, $W\mathcal{G}_1^{nor}$ and $W\mathcal{G}_0^{or}$ and three alleys from X_1 to $B\mathcal{G}_0^{nor}$, $B\mathcal{G}_1^{nor}$ and $B\mathcal{G}_1^{or}$, one alley from X_2 to $B\mathcal{G}_0^{or}$ and one alley from N_2 to $W\mathcal{G}_1^{or}$.

The involution of the park sends N_i to X_i and

$$(W\mathcal{G}_i^{or},B\mathcal{G}_i^{or},W\mathcal{G}_i^{nor},B\mathcal{G}_i^{nor})\to(B\mathcal{G}_{1-i}^{or},W\mathcal{G}_{1-i}^{or},B\mathcal{G}_{1-i}^{nor},W\mathcal{G}_{1-i}^{nor}).$$

Example 2. Consider a generic rational function of degree 3. There is a unique topological type of such functions with four critical values. Let us describe some topological types of real meromorphic functions in the Hurwitz space $H_{0,3}$. There are three possibilities. Namely, either all the critical values lie on the real cycle, or only two critical values are on the real cycle, or, finally, there are no real critical values at all.

A park for a generic real meromorphic function with four real critical values consists of a garden which is a sphere with two conjugate semi-gardens being disks with two chords. There are six faces (three white and three black), each one with an alley to an entrance/exit of genus 0.

A park of generic real meromorphic functions with two real critical values is as follows. Its semi-garden consists of a disk and a chord joining two points on the boundary. The corresponding orientable surface of the orientable garden is a sphere. There is an alley in each face (there are four faces) ending at an entrance/exit of genus 0. The lengths of the three edges of each semi-garden are $0, 0$ and 1.

Finally, a park of a generic real meromorphic function without real critical values has a garden which is the simplest orientable garden with length 3 of its side. It has one entrance/exit, each one of genus 0, joined by an alley with the corresponding white/black face. A different park for this case may be constructed with a simplest orientable garden, an oriented separated garden and four entrances/exits all of them of genus 0. The edges are three contours of length 1.

Example 3. The figure shows a park of a complicated generic real meromorphic function from a surface of genus 7 and with 11 real critical values. The rectangles with labels g =integer, represent the entrances/exits.

4. Real meromorphic function corresponding to a park

The main goal of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 3. *For any park $\mathcal{P}$, there exists a generic real meromorphic function f whose park $\mathcal{P}(f)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. For any finite branched covering $f : P \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ of the Riemann sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ by an orientable closed 2-manifold P, there exists a unique holomorphic structure on



FIGURE 1. A semi-park generated by an orientable semi-garden on a surface of genus 0 with 3 holes. (It has 5 chords, 17 edges, 5 faces, 2 entrances and 2 exits.)

P such that f becomes a meromorphic function, see [7]. Analogously, for any orientation-reversing involution $\tau : P \to P$ of an orientable closed 2-manifold P and a finite branched covering $f : P \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $f(\tau(p)) = \overline{f}(p)$ for any $p \in P$, there exists a unique complex structure on P which makes f a real meromorphic function, see [12, 13]. Thus to obtain a generic real meromorphic function realizing a given park $\mathcal{P}$, it suffices to construct a topological model of this function.

We shall make the construction for parks with orientable gardens. The case for non-orientable gardens is similar.

We start with a topological model of a function realizing a garden with n vertices. Consider the Riemann sphere with n points on its real cycle with cyclic enumeration. The real cycle divides the Riemann sphere into a white and a black disks. By definition, a garden is a 2-dimensional surface split into simply-connected white and black faces. The boundary of each face is split by labelled vertices into edges of a given integer "length". We will construct a branched covering, which maps any face to the disk of the same colour and has at most one critical point inside each face. To obtain such a covering, it suffices to determine the mapping of the boundary of each face to the real cycle $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. (This mapping of the boundary is uniquely determined by the coincidence of the enumerations of the vertices of the

face with the cyclic enumeration of points on $\mathbb{R}$ together with the coincidence of "length" of every edge with the degree of the mapping on this edge.)

Mappings of simplest and separated gardens are constructed similarly. By the *degree* of a face we call the degree of the resulting mapping of this face to a disk. The latter degree will be called the *degree of the alley* related to the face under consideration.

Now consider a neighbourhood of the real cycle $\overline{\mathbb{R}} \subset U \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ symmetric with respect to the complex conjugation and such that it contains only real critical values of the constructed map. Its complement $\mathbb{C} \setminus U$ splits into the white and black disks D_+ and D_-. The preimage of U with respect to the constructed branched covering consists of gardens such that every face has exactly one hole. We call them *gardens with boundary*.

Now we shall construct maps corresponding to entrances and alleys starting at them. Associate to an entrance its *entrance surface* whose genus equals to the weight of the entrance and the number of holes equals to the number of alleys. Consider a generic covering of this surface over D_+ which maps the boundary components corresponding to the alleys to ∂D_+ in such a way that the degree of the (unbranched)covering equals the degree of the alley. The number of critical points of such a covering (the latter number being determined by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula) is called the *index of the entrance*.

The boundary points of gardens with boundary and the boundary points of entrance surfaces are called *related* if their images on ∂D_+ with respect to constructed coverings coincide. Now glue together the boundary components of the alleys with the corresponding boundary components of the gardens with boundary by homeomorphisms which identify related points. Using the involution, we do the same with the exit surface.

As a result, we obtain a branched covering with an orientation-reversing involution whose corresponding real meromorphic function has a park isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}$. □

5. Parks as topological types of generic real meromorphic functions

We now settle the following statement.

Theorem 4. *Two generic real meromorphic functions with isomorphic parks are topologically equivalent. The set $H(\mathcal{P})$ of all generic real meromorphic functions with a given (up to isomorphism) park $\mathcal{P}$ is a single connected component in the space of generic meromorphic functions. In other words, in the space $\mathbb{R}H^0$ of generic real meromorphic functions there exists a deformation transforming one generic real meromorphic function with a given park $\mathcal{P}$ to any other generic real meromorphic function with the same park.*

Proof. We say that two generic real meromorphic functions are *related* if one can deform one to the other by means of a continuous change of real critical values and pairs of complex conjugated non-real critical values. A real meromorphic function defined by a disjoint union of gardens and separated gardens is called *simple*. Construction in § 4 associates to any real meromorphic function f a related class of simple real meromorphic functions defined by gardens and separated gardens of the park of f. Moreover, this related class is the same for two given functions if and only if they have parks with isomorphic systems of gardens and separated gardens.

A system of entrances and its alleys of a generic real meromorphic function determines a set of ramified coverings with simple critical values $\varphi_i : P_i \to D$ of degrees d_i, where P_i is a Riemann surface of genus g_i with k_i holes and D is a disk. Consider the degrees $d_i^1, \dots, d_i^{k_i}$ of $\varphi_i|_{\partial P_i} : \partial P_i \to \partial D$ on components $c_j \subset \partial P_i$. It follows from [10, 12] and Chapter 3 of [13] that any other covering $\varphi_i' : P_i' \to D$ is obtained from φ_i by a continuous change of critical values if the topological types (g_i, k_i) and (g_i', k_i') respectively of P_i and P_i' are the same, the degrees d_i and d_i' of φ_i and φ_i' are the same, and the degrees $d_i^1, \dots, d_i^{k_i}$ and $d'^1{}_i, \dots, d_i'^{k_i}$ on the boundary components are the same.

The park of a generic real function defines the topological type $\{(g_i, k_i)\}$ of the system of entrances and its alleys and the corresponding degrees $d_i^1, \dots, d_i^{k_i}$. Thus for functions with isomorphic parks, there exists a continuous change of critical values deforming one system of entrances and its alleys to the other. The anti-holomorphic involution generates a continuous change of critical values deforming one system of exits and its alleys to the other. Together with the change of real critical values which we considered above, this generates a continuous change of critical values transforming one real function to the other. □

6. Hurwitz numbers

A Hurwitz number is, by definition, a weighted number of equivalence classes of coverings with fixed critical values. Generic real meromorphic functions belong to different equivalence classes if and only if they have different topological types (i.e., non-equivalent parks). Thus it is enough to find a Hurwitz number for any given topological type.

Consider the set $H(\mathcal{P})$ of all generic real meromorphic functions with a given (up to an isomorphism) park $\mathcal{P}$. In § 4 we constructed a decomposition of a generic real meromorphic function into a real function defined on the system of gardens and separated gardens and a function defined on surfaces generated by of entrances and exits with alleys. Thus the the Hurwitz number for $H(\mathcal{P})$ is obtained by multiplication of the Hurwitz number for the function corresponding to the gardens and separated gardens by the Hurwitz number for the function defined on the surfaces generated by the entrances and its alleys. (Notice that the function defined on the surfaces generated by the entrances and its alleys determines the function defined on the surfaces generated by the exits and its alleys.)

Main construction from § 4 demonstrates that the Hurwitz number for functions corresponding to the gardens and separated gardens equals 1. This fact means that to calculate the total Hurwitz number it suffices to determine the Hurwitz number for the function defined on the system of surfaces generated by the entrances and its alleys. This function, in its turn, is a union of functions with simple critical values on surfaces P_i of topological types $\{(g_i, k_i) | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and degrees $\{d_i^j | j = 1, \dots, k_i\}$ on boundary components, see § 5.

Collapsing the boundary components of P_i and the boundary of the image disk to a point, we obtain a map of the closed surface $\overline{P}_i$ to the Riemann sphere which have only one non-simple critical value. The number of its simple critical values is calculated by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula and is equal to

$$b_i = 2g_i - 2 + k_i + \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} d_i^j.$$

The Hurwitz number $H_{g_i}(d_i^1, \dots, d_i^{k_i})$ for such a map is equal to the Hurwitz number corresponding to the covering of P_i over a disk and is called a *single Hurwitz number*. These numbers appear in different areas of mathematics from moduli spaces to integrable systems and are well studied.

Now the Hurwitz number for the topological type given by all entrances and its alleys is:

$$\frac{(b_1 + \dots + b_n)!}{b_1! \dots b_k!} \prod_{i=1}^{n} H_{g_i}(d_i^1, \dots, d_i^{k_i}).$$

As we explained above, this number coincides with the Hurwitz number for general real meromorphic functions of type $\mathcal{P}$.

7. Parks and monodromy of coverings

The traditional way to describe coverings and meromorphic functions is by using monodromy. In the case of a degree d generic meromorphic function $f : P \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, the monodromy is a representation $\omega : \pi_1(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{z_1, ..., z_n\}) \to \mathrm{Sym}_d$, where $\{z_1, ..., z_n\}$ is the set of critical values, Sym_d is the symmetric group of permutations of d elements. For a generic meromorphic function, ω sends each based small loop encircling a singular value to a transposition. The monodromy tell us how the elements of $\pi_1(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{z_1, ..., z_n\})$ lift to the surface P and $\omega^{-1}(Stab(1))$ is isomorphic to the group $\pi_1(P \setminus f^{-1}\{z_1, ..., z_n\})$. Two monodromy representations correspond to topologically equivalent meromorphic functions if and only if there exists an automorphism of $\pi_1(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{z_1, ..., z_n\})$ and an automorphism of Sym_d sending one representation to the other. In this way, it is possible to prove that there exists a unique topological type of degree d generic meromorphic functions on Riemann surfaces of a fixed genus (see [2] and [5]).

The degree d generic real meromorphic functions may be described as degree $2d$ orbifold coverings of an orbifold $D_{t,\overline{s}}$ with the topological type of a disk, t conic points of order two and s corner points of angle $\pi/2$, where $2t + s = n$ (n is the number of critical values). These coverings are given by a monodromy representation:

$$\varpi : \pi_1 O(D_{t,\overline{s}}) \to \mathrm{Sym}_{2d} = \mathrm{Sym}\{(1, w), ..., (d, w), (1, b), ..., (d, b)\},$$

where there is a subdivision of the set of $2d$ elements in two classes: the white elements $\{(1, w), ..., (d, w)\}$ and the black elements $\{(1, b), ..., (d, b)\}$.

The group $\pi_1 O(D_{t,\overline{s}})$ is a crystallographic group (may be Euclidean or non-Euclidean) with presentation:

$$\left\langle x_1, ..., x_t, e, c_1, ..., c_{s+1} \middle|\ x_i^2 = c_i^2 = (c_i c_{i+1})^2 = 1;\ x_1 \dots x_t e = 1;\ c_1 = e c_{t+1} e^{-1} \right\rangle.$$

Since ϖ is the monodromy of a generic real meromorphic function, then it satisfies the following properties:

1. the permutations $\varpi(x_i)$ are transpositions fixing all the black elements;
2. the permutations $\varpi(c_i c_{i+1 \bmod s})$ are either transpositions or product of two disjoint transpositions fixing all the black elements;
3. every $\varpi(c_i)$ is the product of d disjoint transpositions, each transposition interchanging a white and a black element. The permutations $\varpi(c_i)$ and $\varpi(e)$ allow us to construct the gardens of the park of the meromorphic function under consideration.

The entrances are the orbits of the subgroup generated by

$$\langle \varpi(x_1), \ldots, \varpi(x_t) \rangle$$

acting on $\{(1,w), ..., (d,w)\}$. The white faces and the corresponding alleys are in $1-1$ correspondence with the orbits of the element $\varpi(e)$. The face corresponding to an orbit of $\varpi(e)$ is joined with the entrance which is the orbit of $\langle \varpi(x_1), \ldots, \varpi(x_t) \rangle$ containing it.

The exits, black faces and corresponding alleys are obtained using the orbits of

$$\langle \varpi(c_1 x_1 c_1), \ldots, \varpi(c_1 x_t c_1) \rangle$$

and the cycles of $\varpi(c_1 e c_1)$.

For instance, in the case of generic real meromorphic functions without real critical values, Theorem 4 implies the following result in terms of monodromies:

Proposition 5. *Let $f_1 : P_1 \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and $f_2 : P_2 \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ two generic real meromorphic functions without real critical values with degree d. Let*

$$\varpi_i : \pi_1 O(D_{t,\overline{s}}) \to Sym\{(1,w), ..., (d,w), (1,b), ..., (d,b)\}, i = 1, 2,$$

be the monodromies of f_1 and f_2 respectively. If there is a permutation j of the set $\{(1,w), ..., (d,w), (1,b), ..., (d,b)\}$ preserving the black and white coloration and such that:

1. j sends the orbits of the group $\langle \varpi_1(x_1), \ldots, \varpi_1(x_t) \rangle$ to the orbits of the group $\langle \varpi_2(x_1), \ldots, \varpi_2(x_t) \rangle$,

2. $j \circ \varpi_1(e) \circ j^{-1} = \varpi_2(e)$ and $j \circ \varpi_1(c_1) \circ j^{-1} = \varpi_2(c_1)$,

then f_1 and f_2 are topologically equivalent.

References

[1] N. L. Alling, N. Greenleaf, Foundation of the theory of Kiein surfaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 219 (1971). 124 pp.

[2] A. Clebsch, Zur Theory der algebraischen Funktionen, Math. Ann., vol. 29 (1887) 171-186.

[3] A. F. Costa, M. Izquierdo, G. Riera, One-dimensional Hurwitz spaces, modular curves, and real forms of Belyi meromorphic functions. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2008, Art. ID 609425, 18 pp.

[4] A. F. Costa, G. Riera, One parameter families of Riemann surfaces of genus two. Glasg. Math. J. 43 (2001), 255â€“-268.

[5] D. Eisenbud, N. Elkies, J. Harris, R. Speiser, On the Hurwitz scheme and its monodromy, Compositio Mathematica, vol. 77 (1991), 95–117.

[6] A. Hurwitz, Über die Anzahl Riemannschen Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten, Math. Ann., vol. 55 (1902), 53–66.

[7] B.v. Kerékjartó, Vorlesungen über Topologie. I. Flächentopologie, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Volume 8, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1923.

[8] S.M. Natanzon, B. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, Topological classification of generic real rational functions, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, vol. 11, issue 7 (2002), 1063–1075.

[9] S.M. Natanzon, S. V. Shadrin, Topological classification of real meromorphic functions in general position on separating curves. Dokl. Akad. Nauk 388 (2003), 449-451.

[10] S.M.Natanzon, Spaces of real meromorphic functions on real algebraic curves, Soviet. Math.Dokl., Vol.30 (1984), 724–726.

[11] S.M. Natanzon, Real meromorphic functions on real algebraic curves, Soviet Math.Dokl., vol. 36 (1987), 425–426.

[12] S.M. Natanzon, Topology of 2-dimensional coverings and meromorphic functions on real and complex algebraic curves, Selecta Math. Sovietica, vol. 12 (1993), 251–291.

[13] S.M. Natanzon, Moduli of Riemann surfaces, real algebraic curves, and their superanalogs, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 225. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[14] R. Vakil, Genus 0 and 1 Hurwitz Numbers: Recursions, Formulas, and Graph-Theoretic Interpretations, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 353, issue 10 (2001), 4025-4038.

Departamento de Matemáticas Fundamentales, Facultad de Ciencias, UNED, C. Senda del rey, 9, 28040 Madrid, Spain
E-mail address: acosta@mat.uned.es

National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE), 20 Myasnitskaya ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russia
E-mail address: natanzons@mail.ru

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail address: shapiro@math.su.se